The WIMPless Miracle and the DAMA Puzzle

Jason Kumar University of Hawaii w/ Jonathan Feng, John Learned and Louis Strigari (0803.4196,0806.3746,0808.4151)

Relic Density

- matter in early universe in thermal equilibrium
- matter decouples because of the expansion of the universe
 - when particles can't find each other to interact, they decouple from equilibrium
- matter is non-relativistic at decoupling
- Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} + 3H\eta = -\langle \sigma_{ann} \mathbf{v} \rangle (\eta^2 - \eta_{eq}^2)$$

• x ~ 20 , $ho \propto {\sf T}^3 \, ({\sf M}_{\sf p} \, \langle \sigma {\sf V} \rangle \,)^{\text{-1}}$



Y. Zeldovich (1965) R. Scherrer, M. Turner (1986) E. Kolb, M. Turner (1990)

WIMP miracle

- knowing σ , we can figure out relic density
- to get observed DM density need $\sigma \sim 1 \text{ pb}$
- stable matter with coupling and mass of the electroweak theory would have about right relic density for dark matter
 WIMP miracle
- best theoretical idea for dark matter
- guide for most theory models and experimental searches
- but is this miracle really so miraculous?

A New Dark Matter Scenario

- common feature of beyond-the-Standard-Model physics
 - hidden gauge symmetries, particles
- arise in most theory frameworks
 - supersymmetry, string theory, GUTs, etc.
- possible dark matter candidates?
 - can get left over symmetries which stabilize particles
 - if stable, they contribute to dark matter
 - could be either good, or bad
- what are the dark matter implications for this scenario?

Setup

- the standard "low-energy SUSY" setup
 - one sector breaks supersymmetry
 - an energy scale is generated in Standard Model sector by gauge-mediation from the SUSY-breaking sector
 - this sets the mass of the W, Z, Higgs, etc.



Setup

- the standard "low-energy SUSY" setup
 - one sector breaks supersymmetry
 - an energy scale is generated in Standard Model sector by gauge-mediation from the SUSY-breaking sector
 - this sets the mass of the W, Z, Higgs, etc.
- we add to this extra gauge sectors, which behave in a qualitatively similar way
 - symmetry stabilizes particle at SUSY-breaking scale



Motivation

- hidden gauge sectors (several) arise typically in string theory and beyond-the-standard-model
- gauge-mediation provides an elegant solution to flavor-problem
- in string models like intersecting brane models, naturally have many sectors and lots of bifundamental matter
 - gauge mediators
 - extra sectors can leave global or discrete symmetries behind
- but even aside from these motivations, this is an interesting, reasonable and simple scenario

The Energy Scale

- gauge interactions determine energy scale in a known way
- F, M_{mess} set by dynamics of supersymmetry-breaking
 - same for all sectors
- in each sector, ratio of coupling to mass is approximately fixed
- same ratio determines annihilation cross-section
 - determines relic density (Scherrer, Turner; Kolb, Turner)
 - if WIMP miracle gets it right, so does every other sector



see G. Giudice, R. Rattazzi (1998)

$$\frac{g_h^4}{m_h^2} \propto \left(\frac{m_{mess.}}{F}\right)^2 = const.$$

$$\Omega \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \propto \left(\frac{g_h^4}{m_h^2}\right)^{-1} \propto \left(\frac{F}{m_{mess.}}\right)^2$$

Result

- we find in this scenario, a generic charged stable particle should have the right density (order of magnitude) to be dark matter
- maybe this is really a WIMPless miracle ... any gauge sector with any coupling would have worked
- in fact, it should have worked for the MSSM in gauge-mediation
 - two stable particles \rightarrow the LSP and the electron
 - first accident → electron Yukawa coupling is extremely (perhaps unnaturally) small
 - mass much lighter than "natural" scale (m_{top})
 - if electron mass were ~ m_{top} , would have the right relic density
 - second accident \rightarrow in gauge mediation, the LSP is not gauge charged
- but in any other sector, a discrete symmetry can stabilize a hidden sector gauge charged particle
 - in the right ball-park for dark matter
 - distinct from gravity mediated result, where WIMPs really needed

Upshot

- a new well-motivated scenario for dark matter
- natural dark matter candidates with approximately correct mass density
- unlike "WIMP miracle" scenario, here dark matter candidate can have a range of masses and couplings
- opens up the window for observational tests, beyond standard WIMP range
- implications for cosmology, direct and indirect detection
 - such as the DAMA puzzle....

Detection Overview

- direct detection
 - DM scatters of nucleus in earthbased detector, and the recoil is measured
 - DAMA, CDMS, XENON10, CoGeNT, LUX, etc.

- indirect detection
 - DM annihilates to SM final states, which shower off γ , ν , e⁺e⁻
 - GLAST, PAMELA, ANTARES, Super-K, etc.



Dan Hooper SUSY '07



NASA website

• LHC

Detection Scenarios

- if no connection between SM and hidden sector...
 - no direct, indirect or collider signature
 - only gravitational



Detection Scenarios

- if no connection between SM and hidden sector...
 - no direct, indirect or collider signature
 - only gravitational
- but could have connectors between those sectors
 - either hidden sector DM charged also under SM
 - or exotics charged under both SM and hidden sector
- focus on the latter
 - more natural in IBM models, where hidden sector only gets SM coupling at loop level
 - more interesting signals



Yukawa coupling

- $W = \lambda X Y_L f_L + \lambda X Y_R f_R + m Y_L Y_R$
- f is a SM multiplet
- Y_{L,R} are 4th generation-like connector particles
- allows both annihilation to and scattering from SM particle f
- new signatures at small mass
 - direct detection signal
 - number density larger
 - strong indirect detection possibilities
 - signal \propto (# density)²





DAMA/LIBRA result

- Nal direct detection experiment
- large mass / large signal / large background
- uses annual modulation of signal to separate from background
- when earth and solar motion add, DM flux is maximized
 - larger signal
 - peaked ~ June 2
 - 8.2 σ effect



DAMA/LIBRA Collaboration arXiv:0804.2741

- is the experimental result really a DM signal?
- why do other experiments not see it?





- is the experimental result really a DM signal?
 - don't address this....
- why do other experiments not see it?



 what theory model could generate a signal in that region of parameter space?

- is the experimental result really a DM signal?
 - don't address this....
- why do other experiments not see it?
 - low recoil energy
 - particle physics uncertainties
 - channeling effect, etc.
 - astrophysics uncertainties
 - dark matter streams, etc. (Gelmini, Gondolo)
- what theory model could generate a signal in that region of parameter space?



- is the experimental result really a DM signal?
 - don't address this....
- why do other experiments not see it?
 - low recoil energy
 - particle physics uncertainties
 - channeling effect, etc.
 - astrophysics uncertainties
 - dark matter streams, etc. (Gelmini, Gondolo)
- what theory model could generate a signal in that region of parameter space?
 - we address this with WIMPless dark matter



How can DAMA be consistent with other experiments?

- dark matter mass estimates depend on kinematics of nonrelativistic scattering
- recoil energy threshold for experiment gives you a cutoff on mass sensitivity
- channeling effect
 - crystalline scintillators
 - some recoiling nuclei lose no energy to phonons, only to electrons
- dark matter streams
 - changes halo velocity as seen at earth
- more complicated (CPW,FS,SGGF)



WIMPless Model

- we now have a dark matter model which seems to naturally give us the right relic density, but at a variety of mass scales
 no theory prediction now for the mass scale
- let's treat the DAMA/LIBRA signal as an experimental hint for where the DM mass scale is
- can a consistent WIMPless model to fit this experimental hint?
- want $m_X \sim 2\text{--}10 \text{ GeV}, g \sim 0.1$
- $\sigma_{\rm SM}$ ~ 10⁻³⁸⁻⁴¹ cm² $\propto \lambda^4/m_{
 m Y}^2$
- scaling gives us hints for indirect and collider searches

Scattering from **b-quarks**

- assume WIMPless DM couples to 3rd generation quarks
 - coupling to other generations can be Cabibbo-suppressed
- this gives a coupling to gluons in nucleus via loop of b-quarks
 - coupling via t-quarks suppressed by m_{top}
- can compute coupling via conformal anomaly (Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov)

$$\sigma_{SI} = \frac{\lambda^4}{4\pi} \frac{m_N^2}{(m_N + m_X)^2} \frac{\left[ZB_b^p + (A - Z)B_b^n\right]^2}{A^2(m_X - m_Y)^2} \\ \propto \frac{\lambda^4}{m_Y^2} \\ B_b^{p,n} \sim \frac{2}{27} \frac{m_p f_g^{p,n}}{m_b} \\ f_g^{p,n} \sim 0.8 \\ m_Y \sim 400 \text{ GeV} \\ \lambda \sim 0.5 \end{cases}$$

Gamma ray signal

- b-quarks shower off gamma rays which can be probed at GLAST
- pick a point consistent with DAMA/LIBRA signal
 - m_Y ~ 400 GeV, m_X ~ 6 GeV
 - $-\langle \sigma_{\rm SM} v \rangle \sim 7 \ \rm pb$
 - little large, but close enough (Feng, Tu, Yu)
- assume $ho \propto$ 1 / r^{0.8}
- spectrum peaks at $m_{\chi}/25$ (Baltz, Taylor, Wai)
 - internal brem. (peak near m_x) suppressed by high mass final state
- tough signal, but not impossible

$$\sigma_{SM} v = \frac{\lambda^4}{4\pi} \frac{m_Y^2}{\left(m_Y^2 + m_X^2\right)^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_b^2}{m_X^2}} \propto \frac{\lambda^4}{m_Y^2}$$



Collider signature

- collider searches for 4th generation quarks
 - constrained by direct limits from Tevatron
 - precision electroweak constraints from LEP
- would require $m_{\gamma} > \sim 260 \text{ GeV}$
- but exotic quarks in the mass range 300-500 GeV are possible and can be detected at LHC (Kribs, Plehn, Spannowsky, Tait)
 - consistency check for WIMPless model of DAMA/LIBRA signal
- exotics usually require higher mass Higgs for consistency with precision EW
 - interesting correlation with Higgs searches

Corroborating at Super-K

(see also Hooper, Petriello, Zurek, Kamionkowski; Savage, Gelmini, Gondolo, Freese)

- need another experiment to figure out what DAMA is seeing
- direct detection experiment
 - need low threshold
 - if DAMA result comes from earth-specific physics, won't know
- indirect detection experiment
 - model-dependent relation to DAMA
- Super-Kamiokande
 - model-independent, but very different from direct detection tests

- - Super-Kamiokande

low threshold

How Super-K can set limits....

- sun/earth capture DM by elastic scattering
 - absorb energy
- capture yields higher density
 - higher DM annihilation rate
 - vs get out
- if sun is in equilibrium, annihilation rate = capture rate
 - capture rate $\propto \sigma_{\rm DM-nucleon}$
- if Super-K can bound $XX \rightarrow \nu\nu$ flux, can then bound $\sigma_{\text{DM-nucleon}}$
- Super-K sensitive to low E_v
 - good for DAMA
 - model-independent (largely)



Desai, et al., hep-ex/0404025

Super-K bounds....

- v_{μ} convert to μ in/near detector, and μ detected at Super-K
- if data matches atmospheric v background
 - statistical uncertainty bounds vflux contribution from $XX \rightarrow vv$
- old bound from throughgoing μ
 - pass all the way through detector
- >18GeV limit \rightarrow >90% of μ are TG
- for 5-10GeV range, mostly fully-contained events
 - μ form in detector and stop there



projected Super-K bounds using fully-contained events and 3000 live days, plus WIMPless and neutralino (Bottino, et al) predictions

Conclusion

- new theoretical window for dark matter
 - can address dark matter at low mass

• possible explanation for results of DAMA/LIBRA

• interesting corroborative checks at LHC, and possibly at GLAST

 possible to corroborate WIMPless (and other) models for DAMA/LIBRA very soon at Super-Kamiokande

Mahalo...!